

ECONOMIC COUNCIL LETTER

August 1, 1956

Letter No. 388

THE REAL ENEMY

NOT many Americans read the communist *Daily Worker*, published in New York and now in its thirty-third year.

But to read it is to have a better understanding of the evil forces at work throughout the world today. For the *Daily Worker* is the official organ of the Third Internationale—the world communist party. The *Daily Worker* gets its inspiration—in fact, its orders—direct from the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union in Moscow.

But it is also the inspiration of the socialists, whose objectives are the same as communists', except they seek to attain their ends without force. Socialist parties aided the taking over of such countries as Czechoslovakia, Poland, the Balkans, etc. We know of no instance where a socialist party has offered more than token resistance to the seizing of any country by the communists.

THE *Daily Worker* of Thursday, July 16, 1956, published on its front page a two-column declaration, under the headline "U. S. COMMUNIST VIEW ON SOVIET STATEMENT." It purported to be the officially adopted program of the American communists, accepting as gospel the latest Soviet policy in Moscow attacking Stalin.

This newest American policy declaration, like most communist utterances, is wordy and involved. But the gist of it is that the American communist party is now ready to go forward on the basis of Moscow's "new line." Much is made of the need of "peaceful co-existence." It speaks of the "international working class movement." It states, with reference to what the communist party of the United States will do:

"We shall continue to work for greater economic security, democracy and social progress and for the end of anti-Semitism and racism in our country. . . .

"We believe that the path is opening wider than ever today for unity with all socialist-minded groups to attain socialism by constitutional, peaceful means, expressing the free choice of the majority of the American people. This is the guiding aim of the American communist party."

THERE we have it. The communist-socialist take-over of America is under way. Socialism is the objective of this new United Front. For once you get socialism, communism is assured. For communism is nothing but the application of that degree of mental, moral and physical force necessary to suppress all dissidents—a technique well taught by Soviet Russia, Red China and their satellites.

And note the reference to "democracy," one of the words most often employed by communists—and "liberals." Indeed, it was Marxist influence that has brought about the gradual substitution of "democracy" for Republic as the name of the American form of government.

So the simple question now confronting the American people is—do they want socialism? Or, do they wish to preserve individual liberty and American independence? **This is the real question in the coming elections.**

The major force in the United States today, still unrecognized behind the camouflage, is Marxism. All Marxists are socialists. The master minds are communists or fellow travelers. The whole of them constitute the force that intends to seize and possess our country.

And they will—unless the policy of the Roosevelt, Truman and Eisenhower Administrations is reversed.

MIDWEST
INTER-LIBRARY
CENTER

WHAT are the forces back of this great socialist-communist movement in the United States?

One is the spenders. Down to World War I, and even until World War II, we had a relatively manageable public debt. By 1929 the World War I debt had been reduced one-third and our Federal budget was relatively modest. Now we have a debt greater than those of all other countries put together. The Marxist elements in control of the Washington Administration under the last three Presidents, have had no desire to reduce it.

Meanwhile, both Congress and the Executive have engaged in even greater spending. The Congress just forced through, over some objection by the President, extravagant increases in spending for "social security." The President urged huge Federal aid to schools, which, fortunately, was defeated. The Congress voted more than \$30 billion for road-building over the next ten years. It recommended other new spendings, some of which went through and some failed. Every one of these tended to increase the power of the central government, and to decrease the power of the states. Either the lawmakers or the President or both sought to buy the votes of the people through confiscation of the people's savings.

Then there are the do-gooders and the social gospelers. With many of these the motive is good. Christ urged His followers to look after the less fortunate. But what He was talking about was what men should do with their individual means. The Apostle Paul said:

"Let him that stole steal no more, but rather let him labor, working with his hands the thing that is good that he may have to give to him that needeth."
(Ephesians 4-28)

Here is authority for free private enterprise, for individual saving—and for the *voluntary* sharing of one's goods with the needy.

But the do-gooders and social gospelers both in and out of the churches have taken the scriptures as their authority to back socialistic legislation that will forceably take money from the people, and hand it over to government bureaucrats to spend for the good of others—as *they see it*. Incidentally the money is taken from the people on the well known communist principle:

"From each according to his means; to each according to his need."

The need, in each case, of course, is decided by the social gospelers—or the bureaucrats.

All this is the opposite of the Christian principles upon which the American Government was founded.

Another group that affords vast support to Marxism is in our universities—especially the larger, richer ones and in much of the teaching in our public schools. Even Harvard, Yale, Princeton and the University of Chicago have today on their faculties, or have had until very recently, communists or unabashed communist sympathizers. The teaching has been so close to communism that an outside speaker who favored Marxism has been welcomed; while one opposing Marxism in the slightest degree has received hoots and cat-calls. Only lately has there been some improvement in some of the universities—*beginning among students*.

Similarly in the schools, thanks to John Dewey and Teachers College, Columbia University, an overwhelming number of the teachers of the country are left-wing, as also are many of the textbooks. **If this situation continues for another generation, young Americans will be hopelessly sold against American tradition and in favor of Marxism.**

Curiously enough, many business leaders unwittingly support Marxism—often by silence. Orders come down to the various officers to "lay off politics"—even to keep out of political discussions; and to avoid support of any organization promoting private enterprise and American independence.

At a recent conference of business men in Washington an officer of one of the larger corporations said in his remarks:

"The public don't like businessmen. The unions don't. The politicians don't. They don't like the ideals of businessmen."

The speaker proceeded to say that "not since 1932" has American business been held in such low esteem by the public.

We feel certain this young man learned his dislike of private enterprise and his low opinion of businessmen at the knees of some of the commu-

nist or socialist professors in our universities. By just such uncalled-for self-abasement as this, the businessmen of the Iron-curtain countries and even of Soviet Russia herself, permitted their people to be sold into slavery and death.

Recently we urged the Congress to defeat a Bill that would have put the United States into the Organization for Trade Cooperation (OTC) (See Council Letter 384). *And Congress refused to pass the Bill.*

Though the effect of the OTC Bill would have been to transfer all control over American foreign trade, and indirectly much of her domestic trade, to a group of 35 nations in which the United States would have but a single vote, yet certain businessmen supported this Bill. The World Affairs Committee of the Detroit Board of Commerce got out a printed "statement of policy" advocating the joining of OTC. Without the slightest supporting evidence, and in the face of the obvious facts to the contrary, they stated that "neither the GATT nor the OTC is a threat to our sovereignty."

It is interesting to see that no less than 17 of the 41 members of this Committee are in the export-import business, and most of the rest are seeking foreign markets for their goods. No member appears to be connected with any of the many industries (textile, pottery, glass, etc.) that have already been adversely affected by undue tariff concessions.

One of the most powerful forces supporting communism and socialism are the rulings of the U. S. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, which give deductibility before taxes to practically all left-wing organizations, while withholding it from practically all conservative organizations. Among those whose contributors receive deductibility are The Fund for the Republic, the Ford Foundation, and all other foundations, no matter what they do; the Anti-Defamation League, chief offensive weapon of the left-wingers; the American Jewish Committee and the American Jewish Congress.

LASTLY and most important are the political Zionists. This group, having its modern development under Theodore Herzl, got under way just in time to help precipitate World War I. They

forced the adoption of the so-called Balfour Declaration in 1917, which declared that the Jews ought to have a homeland in Palestine. The political Zionists were active in promoting the League of Nations, membership in which the United States fortunately rejected. They were back of the ill-fated Versailles Treaty, which guaranteed the coming of a Hitler in Germany and the precipitation of World War II. They have master-minded the foreign policy of, not only the United States, but Britain, France and other countries, now these many years. They were the authors of the partition of Palestine and have caused the tremendous support, both private and governmental, of the utterly synthetic state of Israel, now the center of another "world crisis."

The political Zionists have intimidated Presidents, Senators, Congressmen and businessmen in America, just as they have in England and on the continent.

In the very nature of things the Zionists are among the great promoters of socialism and communism.

WHAT will happen in the future?

There are perhaps three courses. Possibly things will continue about as they have in the past under the inspiration and direction of the political Zionists. The United States will spend herself, if not into bankruptcy, into such depth of debt and taxes, and her people will be so subjected to bureaucratic regulation of everything, that the eventual communist take-over would seem to many citizens not to make a great difference. That, indeed, is the gradual process the communists aim to accomplish.

Or secondly, we will continue our meddling in the affairs of other nations under the aegis of our internationalists and do-gooders, until we are involved in a Third World War. After such a war, even if we won, private enterprise and individual liberty would be practically gone. The Marxists would have accomplished their purpose of destroying free America.

But there is another alternative.

The people, if they care enough, can in large measure take matters into their own hands. It

is most difficult for the people to control the selection of a President; that is so tied down by varying state laws that, barring a miracle, the same Marxist-Zionist forces will select the candidates of both parties for President and Vice President.

But there is much they can do to affect the composition of *the Congress*. We said, in a former Council Letter, that if ten or a dozen intelligent, understanding and bold men and women in each of the roughly 150 marginal districts should start out to form a group and secure pledges from *some* candidate for Congress to support a definitely conservative program, they would be doing only what groups like the Political Action Committee of the CIO have done in the past and which has proven most effective. We are not aware that this method has been tried by conservatives anywhere in America.

THE situation in England, with respect to the matters we have been discussing in this Letter, is even worse than it is here. But there, a ray of hope has appeared in an organization known as *The People's League for the Defence of Freedom*. The League is proceeding without regard to party. The staff of its national headquarters has to work in three shifts around the clock. The League is equally disgusted with both major parties. Mr. Kenneth de Courcy, in his *Weekly Review* of July 20, 1956, said:

"In 100 marginal constituencies the League already has more members than the sitting M.P.'s majority. In many of these constituencies it could sway an election. Its object is to reach this position in every marginal seat. . . . The result might well be a salutary and badly needed lesson to both the Conservative and Socialist Parties."

Will anything of this kind be done in the United States?

The left-wingers in the United States are eager to convince the American people that nationalism is at an end—that America's **only hope is to throw** in its lot with its poorer, more backward neighbor countries, and let them tell us what to do from here on out. *In other words, world government.*

Conservatives in both the Republican and Democratic Parties—those who do not subscribe to the communist, socialist or internationalist line—might take their cue from this thought.

Let them throw in their lot together, whether Republicans or Democrats, and work for the election of Congressional candidates, regardless of Party, who will **above all things preserve the liberties of the American people and the country's independence.**

Melvin L. Hair

President.

NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, Inc.

This Council Letter may be quoted in whole or part, provided due credit is given to the National Economic Council, Inc., Empire State Building, New York 1, N. Y., and quotation is specified to be from Economic Council Letter 388, August 1, 1956.

NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL INC., established in 1930, is a non-profit, non-partisan membership corporation organized under New York State law. It publishes the semi-monthly ECONOMIC COUNCIL LETTER and occasional ECONOMIC COUNCIL PAPERS.

Subscription \$10 a year, \$6 for six months, \$3.50 for three months. Special rate for student or teacher, \$5 a year.

EXTRA COPIES of this Council Letter 15c each (8 for \$1), \$9 per 100, \$50 per 1,000.

Special prices will be quoted for larger quantities. Please add 3% sales tax for deliveries in Greater New York and 4% shipping charges on quantities of 100 or more.

National Economic Council, Inc., Empire State Building, New York 1, N. Y.

903 First National Bank Bldg., Utica 2, N. Y.